

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final office action electronically delivered on April 28, 2008. Applicants hereby respectfully request entry of the amendments to Claim 1 of the specification as set forth hereinbefore to place the present application in condition for allowance. No new matter has been added to the application by virtue of the present amendments, and reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending Claim 1, as amended, are earnestly requested.

Present Status of the Application

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In response thereto, Applicants respectfully submit that, referring to paragraph [0052] of the specification, the present application provides a multi-memory architecture comprising two different types of memory devices, but the overall pin configuration is the same as one of the memory devices. That actually means the new multi-memory architecture has the same pin configuration as a standard pin configuration of one of the memory device disposed therein. As a result, the new multi-memory architecture can “function” as that memory device ordinarily does, so as to eliminate compatibility problem. Therefore, although the (WP)’ and (RESET)’ pins of the multi-memory architecture shown in FIG. 1 are not part of the Mask ROM die 100, they are truly part of a conventional Mask ROM package, so as to make the multi-memory architecture of the present application compatible with all the applications for the conventional Mask ROM package.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 7558-US-PA
Application No.: 10/064,916

Accordingly, to prevent misunderstanding of Claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit entry of the amendments to Claim 1 of the specification to clearly define the feature of “pin configuration”. No new matter has been added to the application by virtue of the present amendments, and reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending Claims 1 and 3-7, as amended, are earnestly requested.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 7558-US-PA
Application No.: 10/064,916

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 1 and 3-7 of the present application patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date :

July 28, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office
7th Floor-1, No. 100
Roosevelt Road, Section 2
Taipei, 100
Taiwan
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233
Email: belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw
Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw